

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,703	01/02/2004	Arjun Chandrasekar Iyer	SBL0011C1US	3820
60975 CAMPBELL S	7590 02/18/2009 STEPHENSON LLP		EXAM	IINER
11401 CENTURY OAKS TERRACE			HARPER, LEON JONATHAN	
BLDG. H, SU AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2166	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/750,703	CHANDRASEKAR IYER ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LEON HARPER	2166					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>LEON HARPER</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Samuel G. Campbel (Reg No. 42, 381)I</u> . (4)							
Date of Interview: <u>13 February 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>116-163</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 5864842</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's quee their view of the invention and how prior art does not disclose invention. Examiner recommended amendments and explained how prior art does in fact disclose claimed invention. Once their response is received another office action will follow.							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/LEON HARPER/							